

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please rewrite the title as follows:

--A LINEAR ACTUATOR USING A ROTATING MOTOR--

REMARKS

Claims 1-15 are pending in the Application.

I. REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

The CFR 37§1.104 section C (2) states the following: In rejecting Claims for want of a novelty or for obviousness, the Examiner must cite the best references at his/her command. When a reference is complex or shows or describes inventions other than that claimed by the Applicants, the particular part relied on must be designated as nearly as practicable. The pertinence of each reference, if not apparent, must be clearly explained in each rejected Claim specified.

Claims 1-12 and Claim 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,935,071 to *Schneider et al.* (hereafter *Schneider*). However, the Examiner has only stated that *Schneider* teaches the elements recited in Claim 1 of the present invention without actually saying that *Schneider* addresses Claims 2-12 and Claim 15. Other than Claim 1, the Examiner is mute about any of the limitations in dependent Claims 2-6. Claim 7 is an independent claim and again the Examiner is mute regarding Claim 7 and dependent Claims 8-12 and Claim 15.

The Examiner states that *Schneider* clearly teaches the construction of an ultrasonic biometric imaging and identity verification system comprising the elements of Claim 1. Claim 1 recites a linear actuator comprising a direct current (DC) motor